

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
9

10 ROCIO ADAME ARAUJO DE
11 AGUILAR, as heir to BENIGNO
12 ANDES AGUILAR and Wife to Deceased
13 BENIGNO ANDES AGUILAR et al.,

CASE NO. 1:02-cv-6527 LJO GSA

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
RE: PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF MINOR'S
COMPROMISE FOR MARTIN ZENDEJAS

14 Plaintiffs, _____

15 vs. _____

16 NORTHERN RAILROAD PASSENGER
17 CORPORATION ("AMTRAK"), et. al.,

(Doc. 250)

18 Defendants. /
19

INTRODUCTION

20 _____Plaintiff, Martin Zendejas, is a minor in this action ("minor").¹ Pending before the court is a
21 Petition for Minor's Compromise ("Petition") which was filed on April 8, 2009, by Maria Torres-
22 Romero ("Petitioner"), the minor's mother and guardian ad litem. (Docs. 250).² The petition is
23 supplemented by declarations from David Lynn, Esq., the minor's attorney, and Maria Torres-Romero.

24 The Court held a hearing on the Petition for Minor's Compromise on April 14, 2009.
25 Appearing on behalf of Petitioner and Martin Zendejas was David Lynn and Lloyd Chapman. Appearing
26 _____

27 ¹ The minor is twelve years of age. His date of birth is April 30, 1996.

28 ² This court appointed Maria Torres-Romero, the minor's guardian on April 3, 2009. (Doc. 248).

1 on behalf of Defendants was B. Clyde Hutchinson. All parties appeared telephonically. For the reasons
2 discussed below, this Court RECOMMENDS that the petition be GRANTED.

3 BACKGROUND

4 The minor's claim involves a train and a van accident that resulted in the wrongful death of Jesus
5 Romero-Rubio, the minor's step-father. On December 12, 2001, at approximately 4:00 pm, an Amtrak
6 train was traveling east on a single main track and struck a van driven by Mario Aguilar traveling from
7 Polar Avenue to the Central Valley Highway in Shafter, California. Benigno Aguilar, Mario Munoz,
8 Hector Sarabia, Juan Jimenez, Eloy Suarez, Jesus Romero-Rubio ("Plaintiffs"), and others were
9 traveling in the van. As a result of the collision, all occupants in the van sustained serious physical
10 injuries resulting in their deaths.

11 All of the Plaintiffs alleged that National Railroad Passenger Corporation ("Amtrak"); Walter
12 Ward; W.M. Dike; and Burlington Northern Santa Fe Corporation ("Defendants") negligently and
13 recklessly operated, controlled, and maintained the subject train and failed to follow statutory regulations
14 and internal policies and procedures which resulted in Plaintiffs' deaths. On February 19, 2009,
15 Defendants notified the court that the matter settled as to all parties. (Doc. 221). The total global
16 settlement was 2.5 million dollars. (Doc. 253).

17 The minor's portion of the global settlement amount is \$15,000.00. The Petitioner requests that
18 \$3,750.00 (25%) of the minor's gross settlement proceeds be paid for reasonable attorney's fees, and that
19 costs of the litigation be deducted from the settlement amount. The amount of the minor's share of
20 litigation costs is \$631.77.³ The litigation costs include costs in the amount of \$582.77 incurred by the
21 law firm of Moreno, Becerra, and Casillas, the lead firm in this litigation, as well as \$49.00 in costs
22 incurred by the Law Office of David Lynn.

23 After attorney's fees and costs with the applicable credits are deducted, the minor will receive
24 a net settlement amount of \$10,618.23. Petitioner requests that the court order the purchase of an
25 annuity from Symetra Life Insurance Company, which has an AM Best A Class 10 rating. The annuity

26 ³ The total amount of costs of this litigation were \$97,126.93, and was shared by each plaintiff on a pro rata basis
27 based on their portion of the global settlement amount. Several of the other minors in this action received a credit for
28 litigation expenses as a result of a previous settlement with Defendant Shafter-Wasco Ginning, Co. The minor was not part
of that settlement had therefore no litigation credits were given.

1 will yield payments to the minor on his 18th through 21st birthdays. The total payout to the minor will
2 be \$13,483.97 as set forth below :

3	April 30, 2014 (age 18)	\$ 2,500.00
4	April 30, 2015 (age 19)	\$ 2,500.00
5	April 30, 2016 (age 20)	\$ 3,000.00
6	April 30, 2017 (age 21)	<u>\$ 5,483.97</u>
7	Total amount to be paid:	\$13,483.97

8 The guardian ad litem will be responsible for keeping Symetra Life Insurance Company apprised
9 of the minor's address and the payments will be mailed directly to the minor to the most recent address
10 to ensure the minor gets the payments in full on the above referenced dates.

11 DISCUSSION

12 _____ This Court's Local Rule 17-202 addresses settlements for minors and provides in pertinent part:

13 (b) Settlement. No claim by . . . a minor . . . may be settled or compromised
14 absent court order by the assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge approving the settlement
or compromise.

15 . . .

16 (2) Such application [for minor's compromise] shall disclose, among
17 other things, the age and sex of the minor . . . , the nature of the causes of action to be
settled or compromised, the facts and circumstances out of which the causes of action
18 arose, including the time, place and persons involved, the manner in which the
compromise amount or other consideration was determined, including such additional
19 information as may be required to enable the Court to determine the fairness of the
settlement or compromise . . .

20 . . .

21 (c) Disclosure of Attorney's Interest. When the minor . . . is represented by an
attorney, it shall be disclosed to the Court by whom and the terms under which the
22 attorney was employed . . . and whether the attorney has received or expects to receive
any compensation, from whom, and the amount.

23 . . .

24 (e) Payment of Judgment. Whenever money . . . is recovered on behalf of a minor
25 . . . the money . . . will be (1) disbursed to the representative pursuant to state law upon
a showing that he is the duly qualified representative under state law, (2) disbursed
26 otherwise pursuant to state law, or (3) disbursed pursuant to such other order as the Court
deems proper for the protection of the minor . . .

27 The minor and the Petitioner is represented in this action by David Lynn. The law firm of Moreno,
28

1 Becerra & Casillas was lead counsel for much of this litigation. However, Mr. Lynn spent a considerable
2 amount of time with the minor and his family preparing for depositions, as well as communicating with
3 them via letters and in person regarding the case. Moreover, Mr. Lynn coordinated litigation efforts with
4 Mr. Moreno's firm and participated in the mediation resulting in a settlement.

5 Based upon a review of the submissions by counsel and by the minor's guardian ad litem, this
6 Court finds the proposed settlement for Martin Zendejas as set forth in the petition to be fair, reasonable
7 and proper. The Petition substantially includes the information required by this Court's Local Rule 17-
8 202(b)(2) and (c) and addresses the details of the proposed compromise. In this case, the attorney's fees
9 requested are 25% of the gross settlement amount. This is reasonable given the complexity of this
10 litigation, as well as the amount of work done by Mr. Lynn and his firm. Moreover, the litigation costs
11 appear appropriate given the length of time this case has been pending.

12 **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

13 For the reasons discussed above, this Court RECOMMENDS that the Petition for Approval of
14 Minor's Compromise be GRANTED in accordance with the terms outlined below :

- 15 1. Defendants National Railroad Passenger Corporation, Burlington Northern Santa Fe
16 Corporation, Walter Ward and W.M. Dike have settled all of Martin Zendejas' claims for
17 \$15,000.00;
- 18 2. The request for attorney's fees in the amount of \$3,7500.00 is GRANTED. These funds
19 shall be deducted from the minor's gross settlement amount and paid to the Law Offices
20 of David Lynn;
- 21 3. The minor shall be charged costs in the amount of \$631.77 and shall be paid to the Law
22 Offices of David Lynn;
- 23 4. After all deductions and applicable credits, the minor, Martin Zendjas, shall receive a net
24 settlement amount of \$10,618.23;
- 25 5. The net settlement proceeds shall be used to purchase a single premium annuity from
26 Symetra Life Insurance Company, an AM Best rated A Class 10 carrier, on behalf of the
27 minor with lump sum guaranteed payments of no less than the amounts listed below :
28

1	April 30, 2014 (age 18)	\$ 2,500.00
2	April 30, 2015 (age 19)	\$ 2,500.00
3	April 30, 2016 (age 20)	\$ 3,000.00
4	April 30, 2017 (age 21)	<u>\$ 5,483.97</u>
5	Total amount to be paid:	\$13,483.97

- 6
- 7 6. The annuity payments shall be made on the dates outlined above and mailed by Symetra
- 8 Life Insurance Company to Martin Zendejas at the minor's last known address;
- 9 7. The Petitioner and guardian ad litem, Maria Torres-Romero, is authorized and directed
- 10 to execute any and all documents reasonably necessary to carry out the terms of the
- 11 settlement;
- 12 8. The Petitioner and guardian ad litem, Maria Torres-Romero AND the Law Offices of
- 13 David Lynn shall be responsible for maintaining a current address on behalf of the minor
- 14 with the annuity company, Symeta Life Insurance Company, until such time as the above
- 15 payment schedule is completed;
- 16 9. There shall be no access to any of the funds from this annuity until the minor reaches the
- 17 age of 18 absent a court order; and
- 18 10. Petitioner shall have sixty (60) days to submit proof of funding of the annuity to the Court.
- 19 These findings and recommendations are submitted to United States District Judge
- 20 Lawrence J. O'Neill, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Within **eleven (11) days** after the date of this
- 21 Finding and Recommendation, the parties may file written objections with the Court. The document
- 22 should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Finding and Recommendation." The parties are
- 23 advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
- 24 Court's order. [Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 \(9th Cir. 1991\)](#).

25

26

27

28 IT IS SO ORDERED.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: April 17, 2009

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE